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## General Marking Guidance

## Total marks

The total number of marks for the paper is 80 .

## Mark types

The Edexcel Statistics mark schemes use the following types of marks:

- M Method marks, awarded for 'knowing a method and attempting to apply it', unless otherwise indicated.
- A Accuracy marks can only be awarded if the relevant method (M) marks have been earned.
- B Unconditional accuracy marks are independent of M marks
- E Explanation marks

NOTE: Marks should not be subdivided.

## Abbreviations

These are some of the marking abbreviations that will appear in the mark schemes.

- ft follow through
- PI possibly implied
- cao correct answer only
- cso correct solution only
(There must be no errors in this part of the question)
- awrt answers which round to
- awfw answers which fall within (a given range)
- SC special case
- nms no method shown
- oe or equivalent
- dep dependent (on a given mark or objective)
- dp decimal places
- sf significant figures
- $\boldsymbol{*} \quad$ The answer is printed on the paper


## Further notes

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- All A marks are 'correct answer only' (cao), unless shown, for example, as A1ft to indicate that previous wrong working is to be followed through.
- All M marks are 'possibly implied' (PI) unless specifically stated otherwise in the 'Notes' column.
- After a misread, the subsequent A marks affected are treated as A1ft, but manifestly absurd answers should never be awarded A marks.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.
- If two solutions are given, each should be marked, and the resultant mark should be the mean of the two marks, rounded down to the nearest integer if needed.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Question \& Scheme \& Marks \& AO \& Notes \\
\hline 1(a) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Median from box plot=35 \\
IQR from box plot=9 \\
Median from graph \(=40\) \\
IQR from graph \(=6\) or 7 \\
So Kings Cross has a higher average journey time \\
The spread is larger for Waterloo
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
A1 \\
A1 \\
A1 \\
A1 \\
E1 \\
E1
\end{tabular} \&  \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Or range \(=23\) \\
Or comment such as "obviously greater than 35 as it starts at 35" \\
Or range=16 \\
Must have context \\
Must have context
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1(b)
1(c) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Waiting time at the station. \\
Distance lived from the station. \\
Traffic during journey to the station. \\
Walking speed.
\end{tabular} \& E1, E1 \& 2.1 a

2.1a,

2.1a \& | e.g. the distributions are similar. |
| :--- |
| Must be related to the first part of the journey. | <br>

\hline \& Total \& 9 \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| Question | Scheme | Marks | AO | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 2(a) | To check if the relationship is <br> linear <br> To check if correlation is positive <br> or negative <br> To see if the PMCC is an <br> appropriate measure to use <br> To get a rough idea of the PMCC <br> before calculation as a check <br> To check for anomalies <br> To see if there is only one trend <br> Any 2 reasons <br> correlation type of |  |  |  |
|  | Chesapeake Bay Retriever <br> As it doesn't fit trend of the other <br> data on the graph <br> or <br> As it is the only breed with male <br> lower than female in the table | E1 | 1.1 | E1,E1 |
| 2(b) | 1.1 | 1.1 | B1 |  |
| PMCC is independent of units | B1 | $2.1 b$ |  |  |
| 2(c) | It would be a value bigger than <br> 0.988 but less than 1. | oe relationship |  |  |
| 2(d) | B1b |  |  |  |


| 2(e) | Statements in support <br> Certain breeds of dogs may have a large minimum height and a small maximum height <br> Statements against <br> Breeds of show dog with a larger minimum desired height would be larger dogs in general. You would expect these to have a larger desired max height also. <br> This would require the maximum heights to decrease as minimum heights increase which does not happen in our sample <br> Sample has a positive correlation <br> Minimum desired height can't be higher than maximum desired height which could happen with a negative correlation <br> Alana is incorrect | E1,E1, <br> E1 <br> E1 | 2.1a 3.1b | Each of up to 3 statements (may include a mix of support/against) <br> Awarded for overall disagreement with Alana with attempt at a reason <br> SC supporting statement and Alana is correct scores E1E0E0E1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 10 |  |  |


| Question | Scheme | Marks | AO | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Leo should choose a large sample of participants <br> His sample of participants should be randomly selected |  |  | Condone $n \geq 30$ or implied by context |
|  |  |  |  | Or |
|  | He should show them one painting at a time and ask if they think it was done by a professional or by a child |  |  | He should show them two paintings at a time and ask which they think is by a professional |
|  | Mention of blocking generally |  |  | e.g. randomised block design |
|  | Mention of a sensible specific blocking factor e.g. background in art |  |  |  |
|  | Leo should collect paintings from several different artists/several different children |  |  | Consideration of the bias caused by the painters |
|  | Leo should collect an equal number of paintings from artists and children |  |  |  |
|  | He could try to pair similar paintings from a child/professional artist |  |  |  |
|  | Blind/double blind trial mentioned in context |  |  |  |
|  | He should remove identifying information such as signatures from the artists' work |  |  |  |
|  | He should keep participants separate so they do not discuss |  |  |  |
|  | Use same paintings for all participants |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E1, E1, } \\ & \text { E1, E1, } \end{aligned}$ | 3.1a | One mark for each comment up to 7 |


|  |  | E1, E1, <br> E1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Question | Scheme | Marks | AO | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4(a) | Players with a spend of 0 give the data a heavy skew <br> Revenue cannot be negative and normal is unbounded | E1 | 2.1a | Or reverse with whales to right |
| 4(b) | 0.1587 | B1 | 1.2 | awrt 0.159 |
| 4(c) | $\begin{gathered} \bar{X} \sim N\left(50, \frac{100}{12}\right) \\ P(\bar{X}<40)=0.0003 \end{gathered}$ <br> Alternative $\begin{gathered} X_{1}+\cdots+X_{12} \sim N(600,1200) \\ P\left(X_{1}+\cdots+X_{12}<480\right)=0.0003 \end{gathered}$ | M1 <br> A1 <br> (M1) <br> (A1) | $1.2$ $1.2$ | Divides variance by 12 or standard deviation by $\sqrt{12}$ awrt |
| 4(d) | Because the standard deviation of $\bar{X}$ is smaller than that of $X$ | E1 | 2.1b | Or 40 is more standard deviations from the mean |
| 4(e) | Because it's a normal model <br> Because $P(W=40)=0$ <br> Because $W$ is continuous <br> Any of these | E1 | 2.1b |  |
| 4(f) | $\begin{gathered} (1-" 0.1587 ")^{4} \\ 0.50096 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \\ & 1.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\left(1-' \text { their }(b)^{\prime}\right)^{4}$ <br> awrt 0.5 |


| 4(g) | $X \sim B(12,0.8413)$ $P(X \geq 8)=(1-P(X \leq 7))=0.970$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.2 \end{aligned}$ | Binomial with $\mathrm{n}=12$ seen or used $p=1-\text { 'their }(b)^{\prime}$ <br> awfw 0.969-0.972 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4(h) | $\begin{gathered} P(30<X<40)=0.1359 \\ \frac{P(30<X<40)}{P(X>30)}=\frac{0.1359}{0.9772} \\ 0.139 \end{gathered}$ | B1 <br> M1 <br> A1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.2 \end{aligned}$ | Divides by 0.9772 |
| 4(i) | Not appropriate <br> The actual distribution would be discrete not continuous <br> The normal distribution would not have an upper limit of $£ 60$ <br> Whales may be inclined to spend $£ 60$ meaning the distribution is skew <br> Appropriate <br> Could model using e.g. $P(X=5)=P(2.5<W<7.5)$ <br> Conclusion <br> Conclusion that normal may be appropriate/inappropriate <br> Three comments | $\begin{gathered} \text { E1, E1, } \\ \text { E1 } \end{gathered}$ | 2.1a | Or lower limit of $£ 0$ <br> Continuity correction suggested <br> Only valid if agrees with at least one of their reasons |
|  | Total | 17 |  |  |


| Question | Scheme | Marks | AO | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5(a)(i) | $\begin{aligned} & X \sim \mathrm{~B}(120,0.35) \text { or } Y \sim \mathrm{~B}(300,0.15) \\ & X^{\prime} \sim N(42,27.3) \text { or } Y^{\prime} \sim N(45,38.25) \\ & 42+45=87 \\ & 27.3+38.25=65.55 \end{aligned}$ | M1 <br> M1 <br> E1 <br> E1 | 2.1a <br> 2.1a <br> 1.2 $1.2$ | PI <br> PI <br> Addition of means (no variances seen scores M1M0E1E0) <br> Addition of variances not standard deviations |
| 5(a)(ii) | $\begin{aligned} & \quad P(T \geq 100) \approx P\left(T^{\prime}>99.5\right) \\ & 0.0613 \end{aligned}$ | M1 <br> A1 | $1.2$ $1.2$ | Continuity correction used $\text { sc } 0.0542 \sim 0.0543$ <br> No continuity correction scores M0A1 |
| 5(b) | The binomial distributions $X$ \& $Y$ can be approximated as a normal distribution as $n$ is sufficiently large <br> Two independent normal distributions can be added to give a normal distribution, and we assumed independence above | E1 <br> E1 | $3.1 \mathrm{a}$ 3.1a | Equivalently correct comment regarding np or the mean |
| 5(c) | All tickets will sell - may not be justifiable as it's unlikely every single ticket will sell every night as some nights may be busier than others <br> Independence of tickets being concessions - may not be justifiable as people may come in groups of friends of similar ages | E1 <br> E1 | 3.1a <br> 3.1a | Reason why assumption all tickets sell may be incorrect <br> Reason why assumption of independence may be incorrect e.g. not independent because people seeing one film can't see the other |


| 5(d)(i) | $420-T$ | B1 | 1.2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5(d)(ii) | $P=6(420-T)+4 T[-200]$ | M1 | 1.2 |  |
|  | $P=2320-2 T$ | M1 | 1.2 | Or 2520 |
|  | $P \sim N(2146, \ldots$ | A1 | 1.2 | Mean (or 2346) |
|  | ...,262.2) | A1 | 1.2 | Variance |
|  | $P(P>2125)=0.9027$ | A1 | 1.2 | Or $>2325$ |
|  | Total | 16 |  |  |


| Question | Scheme | Marks | AO | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6(a) | Explanation of how Caroline <br> chose her parameters <br> $n=100$ 000 represents number of <br> hairs on a head <br> $p=0.001=\frac{100}{100000} 100$ hairs out <br> of 100000 lost per day | E1 | 1.3 |  |
| $\mathbf{6 ( b )}$ | Reasonable <br> Assuming that values for Britain <br> are similar to America <br> $n=100$ 000 is reasonable from <br> the article <br> Caroline may have chosen 100 <br> hairs (at the upper end) as she has <br> mostly female customers who lose <br> more hair |  |  |  |


|  | Unreasonable <br> Assuming that values for Britain are different to America <br> Assumes that everyone is treating their hair similarly e.g. similar products <br> Probability is different for men and women as women tend to lose more hair <br> $n=100000$ unlikely to be valid as likely to be substantial differences between individuals <br> Caroline chose 100 hairs when that was an upper end of a range <br> Family members may book appointments on the same day so unlikely to be independent events <br> Probability doesn't take into account effect of stress or health conditions so not valid if customer suffers from one of these <br> The falling out of hairs may not be independent of one another (e.g. one hair falling out may weaken the surrounding hairs) |  |  | Or mention of how people differ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { E1, E1, } \\ \text { E1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \mathrm{~b}, \\ & 3.1 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 3.1 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | Any 3 of these comments. Cannot score 3 without a comment about whether this is reasonable or not |
|  | Total | 5 |  |  |


| Question | Scheme | Marks | AO | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7(a) | $\frac{7}{56}\left(=\frac{1}{8}\right)$ | B1 | 1.2 | oe |
| 7(b) | $\frac{6}{9}\left(=\frac{2}{3}\right)$ | B1 | 1.2 | oe |
| 7(c) | $21 \times 20 \times 16 \times 15$ <br> 37 seen or used in denominator $\begin{gathered} 37 \times 36 \times 35 \times 34 \\ \times 6 \\ \frac{21}{37} \times \frac{20}{36} \times \frac{16}{35} \times \frac{15}{34} \times 6=\frac{240}{629} \end{gathered}$ | M1 <br> B1 <br> M1 <br> M1 <br> A1 | 1.2 <br> 1.2 <br> 1.2 <br> 1.2 <br> 1.2 | Numerator <br> Denominator decreases <br> 6 orders (or 4C2) <br> Or 0.382 |
| 7(d)(i) | Probability in (a) would be smaller as more species means more animals overall | E1 | 2.1b |  |
| 7(d)(ii) | (b) would be unchanged as it is conditional on animal being an alligator and the number of male and female alligators is the same in each game | E1 | 2.1b |  |
| 7(d)(iii) | The probability in (c) would be smaller because without replacement the probability gets smaller for each successive animal of each type | E1 | 2.1b | Or by explicit calculation |




