

---

# A-LEVEL

# Mathematics

Statistics 1A – MS1A/W  
Mark scheme

---

6360  
June 2014

---

Version/Stage: Final

---

---

Mark schemes are prepared by the Lead Assessment Writer and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all associates participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every associate understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each associate analyses a number of students' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, associates encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Lead Assessment Writer.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available from [aqa.org.uk](http://aqa.org.uk)

**Key to mark scheme abbreviations**

M	mark is for method
m or dM	mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method
A	mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy
B	mark is independent of M or m marks and is for method and accuracy
E	mark is for explanation
✓ or ft or F	follow through from previous incorrect result
CAO	correct answer only
CSO	correct solution only
AWFW	anything which falls within
AWRT	anything which rounds to
ACF	any correct form
AG	answer given
SC	special case
OE	or equivalent
A2,1	2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks
-x EE	deduct x marks for each error
NMS	no method shown
PI	possibly implied
SCA	substantially correct approach
c	candidate
sf	significant figure(s)
dp	decimal place(s)

**No Method Shown**

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award **full marks**. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn **no marks**.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns **full marks**, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains **no marks**.

**Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.**

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
<b>1</b>	<b>No MR or MC in this question</b>			<b>Ignore units throughout this question</b>
<b>(a)</b>	<p>Mean = <b><u>70.4</u></b></p> <p>Mean = <b><u>70.1 to 70.7</u></b></p> <p>SD = <b><u>2.03 or 2.06</u></b></p> <p>SD = <b><u>2 to 2.1</u></b></p>	<p>B2</p> <p>(B1)</p> <p>B2</p> <p>(B1)</p>	<b>4</b>	<p>CAO</p> <p>AWFW; but exclude 70.5 unless with a <b>correct method</b> (see <b>Note 2</b>)</p> <p>Either AWRT (2.0312 or 2.0608)</p> <p>AWFW</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p><b>1</b> <math>\sum fx = 2464</math> and <math>\sum fx^2 = 173610</math></p> <p><b>2</b> Using only <math>x</math>-values gives Mean = 70.5 and SD = 2.87 or 3.03 <math>\Rightarrow</math> B0 B0</p> <p><b>3</b> Using only <math>f</math>-values gives Mean = 3.5 and SD = 2.33 or 2.46 <math>\Rightarrow</math> B0 B0</p> <p><b>4</b> If, and only if, B0 B0, then award M1 for <b>seen</b> attempt at <math>\sum fx \div 35</math> or for <math>2464 \div 35</math></p>			
<b>(b)</b>	<p>Henrietta keeps <math>(x - 60)</math> so:</p> <p>Mean = <b><u>10.4</u></b></p> <p>SD = <b><u>2.03 or 2.06</u></b></p>	<p>BF1</p> <p>BF1</p>	<b>2</b>	<p>FT on any mean <math>&gt; 60</math> from (a)(iii) but must <b>subtract 60</b> and state <b>numerical</b> value <math>&gt; 0</math></p> <p>FT on any SD <math>&gt; 0</math> from (a)(iii) but must state <b>unchanged numerical</b> value <math>&gt; 0</math></p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p><b>1</b> Mean is "60 fewer" than previously/in (a) (OE) <math>\Rightarrow</math> BF0</p> <p><b>2</b> SD is "exactly same" as previously/in (a) (OE) <math>\Rightarrow</math> BF0</p> <p><b>3</b> If mean and SD calculated using <math>(x - 60)</math>, <math>\sum f(x - 60) = 364</math> and <math>\sum f(x - 60)^2 = 3930</math>, then, to score marks, the answers must be 10.4 (CAO) and 2.03 (AWRT) or 2.06 (AWRT)</p>			
		<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
2	No MR or MC in this question			Accept %age equivalents in (a)(i) to (iii)
(a)	Volume, $X \sim N(340, 3.2^2)$			
(i)	$P(X > 345) = P\left(Z < \frac{345 - 340}{3.2}\right)$ $= P(Z > \underline{1.56})$ $= 1 - 0.94091 = \underline{0.059}$	M1 A1 A1	(3)	Standardising 345 with 340 and 3.2 but allow (340 – 345) AWRT; ignore sign (1.5625) AWRT (0.05909)
(ii)	$P(X < 333) = P(Z < -2.1875)$ $= 1 - P(Z < 2.1875)$ $= 1 - 0.98565 = \underline{0.014 \text{ to } 0.015}$	M1 A1	(2)	Correct area change; <b>neither</b> 2.1875 or correct standardising are required Can be implied by <b>final answer &lt; 0.5</b> AWFW (0.01435)
(iii)	$P(333 < X < 345) = P(Z < 1) - P(Z < -1.5)$ $= [1 - (i)] - (ii) \text{ or } [1 - (ii)] - (i)$ <p>or</p> $1 - (i) - (ii)$ $= \underline{0.926 \text{ to } 0.927}$	M1 A1	(2)	OE; any <b>correct</b> difference in areas that results in <b>answer &gt; 0</b> Can be implied by <b>correct</b> answer but not necessarily if answers to (i) &/or (ii) are (1 – correct answer) AWFW (0.92656)
(iv)	$P(X = 340) = \underline{0 \text{ or zero or nought or } 0\%}$	B1	(1)	CAO; accept nothing else but ignore additional words providing that they are not contradictory (eg impossible so = 0)
Note	1 $P(X = 340) = P(Z = 0) \Rightarrow$ B0 unless followed by 0 OE			
			8	
(b)			1	
(i)	By <b>symmetry</b> or because $\mu = \frac{330 + 345}{2}$	B1		OE
(ii)	$0.975 \Rightarrow z = \underline{1.96}$ $\left(\frac{345 - 337.5}{\sigma}\right) = 1.96 \text{ or } \left(\frac{330 - 337.5}{\sigma}\right) = -1.96$ $\sigma = \underline{3.8}$	B1 M1 A1	3	AWRT; ignore sign (1.9600) Standardising 345 or 330 with 337.5 and $\sigma$ or $s$ ; <b>and</b> equating to 1.96 ( <i>ignore sign</i> ) Can be implied by <b>correct</b> answer AWRT (3.82653) <b>Must be consistent signs throughout</b>
		<b>Total</b>	<b>12</b>	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
<b>3</b> <b>Notes for part (a)</b>	<b>No MR or MC in this question except as indicated in the following Notes</b>			
	<b>1</b> If <b>correct</b> fraction, percentage or ratio is followed by incorrect decimal, then apply ISW but apply penalties as in Notes 2 to 5 <b>2</b> At least one <b>decimal answer</b> given to more than 3 dp (including 0.0320) <b>or</b> at least one <b>recurring decimal answer</b> (eg 0.29 $\dot{3}$ or 0.29 $\dot{0}$ ) are penalised by <b>1 mark</b> <b>3</b> At least one <b>fractional</b> answer (eg 22/75) is penalised by <b>1 mark</b> <b>4</b> At least one <b>percentage</b> answer (eg 29.3) is penalised by <b>1 mark</b> <b>5</b> At least one <b>ratio</b> answer (eg 22:75) is penalised by <b>2 marks</b> Mark answers as below and then apply MR-1 or MR-2 as appropriate (if available) at end of question before totalling marks			
(a)(i)	$P(\text{FH}) = \frac{220}{750} = \frac{22}{75} = \underline{0.293}$	B1	(1)	CAO/AWRT (0.29333)
(ii)	$P(\text{AH} \cap \text{BE}) = \frac{24}{750} = \frac{8}{250} = \frac{4}{125} = \underline{0.032}$	B1	(1)	CAO
(iii)	$P(\text{AH} \cup \text{BE} \text{ but not both}) = \frac{110 + 215 - 2 \times 24}{750}$ $= \underline{277/750} = \underline{0.369}$	M1	(2)	OE Can be implied by <b>correct answer</b>
		A1		CAO/AWRT (0.36933)
SC	Award B1 for 301/750 <b>or</b> 0.401(33)			
(iv)	$P(\text{GE}   \text{FH}) = \frac{64}{750} \div \frac{220}{750} =$ $\underline{64/220} = \underline{32/110} = \underline{16/55} = \underline{0.291}$	M1	(2)	OE Can be implied by <b>correct answer</b>
		A1		CAO/AWRT (0.29091)
			<b>6</b>	
(b)	$P((\text{DH} \cap \text{BE}) \cap (\text{DH} \cap \text{BE}) \cap (\text{MH} \cap \text{GE})) =$ $\frac{92}{750} \times \frac{91}{749} \times \frac{55}{748}$  Multiplied by 3  <b>or</b> $\binom{92}{2} \binom{55}{1} \div \binom{750}{3}$  $= (299/90950) = \underline{0.00328 \text{ to } 0.00329}$	M1 M1	4	Correct 3 values multiplied in numerator Correct 3 values multiplied in denominator 0.123 × 0.121 × 0.074 (all AWRT) ⇒ M1 M1 (OE products) Dependent on at least one M1 scored  Numerator Denominator  AWFW (0.00328752)
		m1  (M1 M1)  (M1)  A1		
Notes	<b>1</b> Incorrect answer with no working ⇒ 0 marks <b>2</b> The <b>3 correct fractions or decimals</b> identified but not multiplied (eg added) ⇒ M1 M0 m0 A0 <b>3</b> The <b>3 correct fractions or decimals</b> identified along with 0.0011 (AWRT) ⇒ M1 M1 m0 A0 <b>4</b> Do <b>not</b> penalise a <b>correct answer</b> given to more than 3sf <b>5</b> Answer given as $3.28 \times 10^{-3}$ to $3.29 \times 10^{-3}$ ⇒ M1 M1 m1 A1			
		<b>Total</b>	<b>10</b>	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
4 (a) (i)	No MR or MC in this question			
	$r_{uv} = \underline{\underline{0.915}}$ $= \underline{\underline{0.9 \text{ to } 0.92}}$ $= \underline{\underline{0.8 \text{ to } 0.99}}$	B3 (B2) (B1)		AWRT AWFW AWFW (0.91468)
	Attempt at $\sum u$ $\sum u^2$ $\sum v$ $\sum v^2$ & $\sum uv$ <b>or</b> Attempt at $S_{uu}$ $S_{vv}$ & $S_{uv}$ Attempt at substitution into <b>correct</b> corresponding formula for $r_{uv}$ $r_{uv} = \underline{\underline{0.915}}$	(M1)   (m1) (A1)	<b>3</b>	81.58 808.2288 70.11 632.3553 & <b>701.6158</b> (all 5 attempted)  142.69916 140.81409 & <b>129.65842</b> (all 3 attempted)  AWRT
(ii)	$r_{xy} = \underline{\underline{0.915}}$	BF1		F on (i) providing $-1 < r_{uv} < +1$ Value quoted must be 0.915(AWRT) <b>or</b> identical to answer in (i)
Notes	1 Award on value only; ignore any explanation or working		2 $r_{xy} = r_{uv}$ with no value stated $\Rightarrow$ B0	
	3 Calculating $r_{xy}$ using values of $x$ & $y \Rightarrow$ B1 only if $r_{xy} = 0.915$ (AWRT)			
Notes	$r$ is <b>not affected</b> by <b>linear scaling</b> <b>or</b> <b>Scaling/coding/transformation/change/conversion</b> to $u$ and $v$ is <b>linear</b>	Bdep1		OE; accept "Formula" or "It" for $r$ but reference to " <b>linear</b> " is necessary  Dependent on BF1  OE; but reference to " <b>linear</b> " is necessary
	1 All values changed using (same) <b>linear</b> scale/formula $\Rightarrow$ B1		2 All values changed using (same) scale/formula/-100 $\Rightarrow$ B0	
	3 <b>Linear</b> formula has no effect on $r \Rightarrow$ B1		4 Formula has no effect on $r \Rightarrow$ B0	
	5 $r$ is not affected by units (June 2013!) $\Rightarrow$ B0			
			<b>2</b>	
(b)	(Very) <b>strong positive</b> (linear) <b>correlation</b>	Bdep1		Dependent on <b><math>0.8 \leq (r_{xy} \text{ or } r_{uv}) \leq 0.99</math></b> OE; must <b>qualify strength</b> and <b>state positive</b>
Notes	1 Only accept phrase stated; ignore additional comments unless contradictory			
	2 Use of: "quite/fairly/extremely/relatively strong or high or big or good or moderate or medium or average" $\Rightarrow$ Bdep0			
	3 Accept "relationship/association/link" but not "trend" instead of "correlation"			
Notes	between  (average) <b>qualifying speed</b> and (average) <b>race speed</b>	B1	<b>2</b>	Context; providing $-1 < (r_{xy} \text{ or } r_{uv}) < 1$
	1 Accept "qualifying mph" and "race mph" but <b>not</b> "mph" without identification			
	2 Accept "fastest/qualifying lap" and "three/ race laps"			
		<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	



Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
<b>5</b>	<b>No MR or MC in this question</b>			
	<b>Part (a)</b>		<b>(11)</b>	
<b>(b)</b> <b>(i)</b>	Mean ( $\mu$ or $\bar{x}$ ) = <b>9.4</b>	B1		CAO B(20, 0.47)
	Variance ( $\sigma^2$ or $s^2$ ) = <b>4.98 to 5</b>	B1		AWFW (4.982)
			<b>2</b>	
<b>Notes</b>	<b>1</b> If answers are not identified, then assume that order of values is mean, variance <b>2</b> If 4.98 to 5 labelled as SD ( $\sigma$ or $s$ ) $\Rightarrow$ B0			
<b>(ii)</b>	Mean or 6 is <b>less than/different to</b> 9.4 Variance or 21.2 is <b>greater than/different to</b> 4.98 to 5	Bdep1		<b>Both OE</b> Dependent on B1 B1 in (i) Must be <b>2 clear correct</b> comparisons
	Agree with claim <b>or</b> no reason to doubt claim	Bdep1		Dependent on Bdep1
			<b>2</b>	
<b>Note</b>	<b>1</b> Statements such as "Claim likely/reasonable/supported/correct/true/possible/valid" $\Rightarrow$ Bdep1 providing previous Bdep1			
	<b>Part (b)</b>		<b>(4)</b>	
		<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
<b>6</b>	<b>No MR or MC in this question</b>			
<b>(a)</b>				
<b>(i)</b>	Attempt at $\bar{v} - n\sigma = 118 - 65n < 0$ and <b>negative usage/volume</b> is impossible	M1  A1	  <b>2</b>	Allow 1.82, 2, 3 or 4 for $n$ with a <b>correct numerical answer</b>  OE; must be in context Negative value is impossible $\Rightarrow$ A0
<b>Notes</b>	<b>1</b> $n = 1.82 \Rightarrow \approx 0$ ; $n = 2 \Rightarrow -12$ ; $n = 3 \Rightarrow -77$ ; $n = 4 \Rightarrow -142$ <b>2</b> Attempt at $P(V < 0) = P\left(Z < \frac{0-118}{65}\right)$ or $\left(z = \pm \frac{0-118}{65}\right) \Rightarrow$ M1 (Standardising 0 using 118 and 65) $\Rightarrow P(Z < -1.81 \text{ to } 1.82) \Rightarrow$ <b>0.03 to 0.04</b> (AWFW) <b>AND negative usage/volume</b> is impossible $\Rightarrow$ A1 <b>or</b> $\Rightarrow 0$ is (1.81 to 1.82)SDs from mean <b>AND negative usage/volume</b> is impossible $\Rightarrow$ A1			
<b>(ii)</b>	Sample (size/number/ $n$ ) is large <b>or</b> 80/sample (size/number/ $n$ ) is greater than 25/30 so can apply/use Central Limit Theorem (CLT)	B1  Bdep1	  <b>2</b>	OE  OE; is sufficient/is enough/implies  Dependent on B1
<b>Notes</b>	<b>1</b> Even if CLT is stated, then reference to parent population is thus normal $\Rightarrow$ Bdep0 <b>2</b> Value(s) of (population) standard deviation (and mean) is/are known $\Rightarrow$ B0 Bdep0			
<b>(b)(i)</b>	98% (0.98) $\Rightarrow z =$ <b>2.32 to 2.33</b>  CI for $\mu$ is: $118 \pm \begin{pmatrix} 2.05 \text{ to } 2.06 \\ 2.32 \text{ to } 2.33 \\ 2.57 \text{ to } 2.58 \end{pmatrix} \times \frac{(65 \text{ or } 65.4(\text{AWRT}))}{\sqrt{80 \text{ or } 79}}$  Thus $118 \pm (2.32 \text{ to } 2.33) \times \frac{65}{\sqrt{80}}$  Hence <b>118 <math>\pm</math> 17</b> <b>or</b> <b>(101, 135)</b>	B1  M1  A1  Adep1	      <b>4</b>	AWFW (2.3263)  Evaluation of only one CL $\Rightarrow$ M0 Ignore notation $\sqrt{\frac{65^2 \times 80}{79}} = 65.4101$  Fully correct expression  CAO/AWRT (16.90574) Dependent on A1 AWRT
<b>Notes</b>	<b>1</b> A correct answer with no working $\Rightarrow$ 4 marks <b>2</b> Seen use of $t$ -value (2.37 to 2.38) $\Rightarrow$ 0 marks <b>3</b> An incorrect expression for CI followed by a numerically correct CI $\Rightarrow$ 2 solutions $\Rightarrow ((0 \text{ or } 1) + 4)/2 \Rightarrow$ 2 marks			
<b>(ii)</b>	<b>Clear correct comparison of 140 with CI</b>  eg 140 is outside/above CI <b>or</b> $140 > \text{UCL}$  Disagree with/doubt/reject <b>claim</b> <b>or</b> $\mu$ unlikely to be/is not 140	BF1  Bdep1	  <b>2</b>	F on CI providing it does <b>not</b> contain 140 <b>Must be an interval</b> but quoting values for limits is <b>not</b> required  OE; dependent on BF1
<b>Notes</b>	<b>1</b> Statement must clearly indicate that "140 is outside/above the CI" <b>or</b> " $140 > \text{UCL}$ " <b>2</b> "It/mean/value/OE" is outside/above CI <b>or</b> greater than UCL $\Rightarrow$ BF0 <b>3</b> Statements of the form "140 is outside/above 98% of the data/values" $\Rightarrow$ BF0 <b>4</b> Statements such as " <b>Claim</b> unlikely/unreasonable/unsupported/incorrect/false/inaccurate/invalid" $\Rightarrow$ Bdep1 but only if BF1 awarded			
		<b>Total</b>	<b>10</b>	